UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 17-530 1346-R
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
rene Alina Michell,) Defendant.)	
Trial Act from $0-3-1$, to $1-2-1$	the court excludes time under the Speedy, and finds that the ends of justice served by the ic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § cases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I).	uld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the pros or law, that it is unreasonable to e	plex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of ecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial lished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	ruld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mmitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time n, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ed, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § ent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
	rd, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § ang from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 10/13/17	Landis Nistral
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney Christian Mcall